PPID | Private Practice Infectious Disease

MIDC METRO INFECTIOUS DISEASE CONSULTANTS

Leadership and Group Structure for Private Practice Infectious Disease Physicians

Russell M. Petrak, MD [1,*]

[1] Metro Infectious Disease Consultants, Burr Ridge, IL 60527, USA
* Corresponding author: rpetrak@midcusa.com

Submitted: 8 May 2026, accepted: 8 May 2026, published: 30 June 2026

Abstract: Private practice infectious disease (ID) groups face a distinctive set of organizational challenges that differ substantially from those confronting academic or hospital-employed physicians. Physician leaders must cultivate a well-defined personal leadership profile, construct durable group structures, foster a cohesive culture, and design decision-making frameworks capable of withstanding internal and external pressures. This paper synthesizes core principles of physician leadership as they apply specifically to the private practice ID setting, drawing on practical experience at Metro Infectious Disease Consultants. It addresses the essential qualities of effective physician leaders, the strategic and operational duties of leadership, the structural elements of a high-functioning ID group, and the governance mechanisms that enable sound and equitable decision making.

Keywords: leadership; integrity; attitude

How to cite: Petrak, R.M. Leadership and Group Structure for Private Practice Infectious Disease Physicians. *Priv. Pract. Infect. Dis.*, 2026, 6(2): 8; doi:10.55636/ppid06020008.

1. Introduction

Private practice ID groups occupy a unique and increasingly pressured position within the American healthcare landscape. Unlike hospital-employed physicians, private practitioners bear direct responsibility for the business viability of their groups—including revenue management, contract negotiation, staffing, and long-term strategic planning. Unlike large academic divisions, they typically operate without the administrative infrastructure that large institutions provide. Success in this environment depends not only on clinical excellence but on the quality, character, and organizational savvy of the physicians who lead these groups.

Leadership in ID private practice is not a passive designation conferred by seniority or partnership status. It is an active, ongoing process that demands personal discipline, interpersonal

skills, strategic vision, and the courage to make and defend difficult decisions. For infectious disease groups, in particular, where the specialty is clinically indispensable yet chronically undervalued in terms of compensation relative to procedural specialties, effective leadership is an existential necessity.

This paper explores the principles and practices of physician leadership as they apply to the private practice ID setting. It examines the personal qualities that distinguish effective leaders, the strategic and cultural responsibilities of leadership, the structural configuration that best supports group cohesion and growth, and the decision-making governance that allows groups to function efficiently and fairly. The goal is to provide a practical framework that physician leaders at any stage, whether building a new group or seeking to strengthen an established one, can adapt to their own circumstances.

2. Qualities of Effective Physician Leaders

Leadership literature is replete with competing frameworks for what makes a great leader. In the context of private practice medicine, however, certain qualities assume particular salience. The physician leader must simultaneously command the respect of clinical peers, earn the trust of administrative staff and hospital partners, and project credibility to patients and the broader healthcare community. Seven core qualities define this profile (Table 1).

Table 1: Qualities of effective physician leaders.

Quality	Description and Significance
Personal Insight	Self-awareness of strengths and weaknesses allows leaders to leverage their best qualities while seeking support where they fall short. Leaders who lack self-insight often overextend into areas of weakness, damaging team confidence.
Ability	Clinical excellence is non-negotiable in physician leadership. Peers must respect the leader's clinical judgment before they will accept governance decisions. Ability anchors all other leadership qualities in credibility.
Passion	Sustained energy for infectious disease, for the growth of the practice, and for the leadership role itself is contagious. Passion motivates teams through difficult transitions and sustains long-term vision.
Charisma	People must genuinely like and trust their leader. Charisma is not mere charm; it is the ability to inspire confidence and loyalty, making team members willing to extend goodwill during conflict or uncertainty.
Communication	Clear, consistent communication underpins every other quality. Leaders who communicate well build alignment, prevent misunderstanding, and model the transparency that healthy group culture requires.
Integrity	Integrity is the foundation upon which trust is built. Honesty, fairness, and consistency in conduct, across clinical, financial, and interpersonal domains, are the currency by which physician leaders earn and maintain authority.
Courage	Effective leaders must act rightly regardless of personal or professional consequences, manage difficult conversations without avoidance, and acknowledge their own limitations; including seeking expertise in billing, finance, legal matters, and personnel management.

2.1. Functional Leadership as an Active Process

A critical insight is that leadership is not a trait one either possesses or lacks; it is a skill. Like other skills, it is represented by a set of behaviors that must be actively and consistently performed. Personal insight without action yields stagnation. Passion without ability yields enthusiasm without results. Charisma without integrity yields short-term influence that ultimately collapses. Communication without courage yields pleasant but ineffective outcomes. The qualities listed above are most powerful when understood not as isolated attributes but as an integrated, mutually reinforcing system.

Leaders who embrace this systemic view invest in ongoing self-assessment, actively seek feedback from partners and staff, and remain willing to recalibrate their approach as the group grows and evolves. Functional leadership is dynamic; what worked for a three-physician group initially may be insufficient for a twelve-physician regional practice a decade later.

2.2. Integrity as the Cornerstone Quality

Integrity operates along several intersecting dimensions in the private practice context. Honesty with partners about financial realities, with employees about performance expectations, and with hospital administrators about clinical capacity creates the predictability that teams require to function with confidence. Fairness in decision making demands consistency. The same standards apply to the same situations regardless of who is involved. Playing favorites corrodes group cohesion and can be the death knell for a group practice.

Fairness also requires discipline delivered with compassion. Partners and employees will inevitably fall short of expectations at some point. How those failures are addressed with clear standards, private conversation, and a genuine commitment to the individual's improvement, reveals the character of the leader and models the culture the group will develop.

In general, personnel attitudes reflect leadership. Accordingly, a leader must be aware of one's own demeanor, appearance, and day-to-day interactions with patients and staff. Physician leaders are always visible. The informal impression they create in hallways, meetings, and patient rooms shapes how others perceive the group as a whole.

2.3. Courage in Leadership

Courage is perhaps the most underappreciated leadership quality because it is the most uncomfortable to exercise. Courageous leadership means doing the right thing regardless of the personal or professional ramifications; including delivering unwelcome clinical or financial news, confronting a disruptive partner, or ending a relationship that has become untenable.

Managing difficult conversations is a learnable skill. As such, physician leaders must invest in it through formal training, coaching, or deliberate practice. In general, the ultimate goal is to say yes as often as possible, and when the answer must be no, to deliver it with understanding and compassion. This, however, frequently requires both courage and communication skills in equal measure.

Finally, courageous leaders acknowledge their own limitations and adapt accordingly. Many physicians are poorly trained in billing, financial modeling, legal contract interpretation, and personnel management of non-clinical staff. Admitting these gaps and securing appropriate expertise can translate to peaceful and prosperous longevity.

3. Leadership Duties and Responsibilities

The duties of a physician leader in private practice span a far wider domain than clinical oversight alone. They fall into two broad categories: strategic planning and group culture development. Both require sustained attention, especially as the group grows and expands.

3.1. Strategic Planning

Strategic planning is the process of deliberately shaping the group's future rather than allowing circumstances to dictate the course. To be successful, therefore, a leader must learn to be proactive rather than reactive. For a private practice ID group, this encompasses several distinct dimensions.

3.1.1. Growth Planning

Physician growth, expanding the number of physicians and advanced practice providers, is the most fundamental driver of capacity, revenue, and on-call sustainability. Leaders must think prospectively about recruitment: What clinical profiles does the group need? What geographic coverage gaps exist? What compensation and partnership structures will attract and retain high-quality candidates in a competitive market?

Ultimately, growth should be focused on enhanced financial prosperity stimulated by revenue diversification. While inpatient and outpatient care are the cornerstones of an ID private practice, alternative revenue streams should be evaluated. Telemedicine, outpatient parenteral antibiotic infusion programs, and contracting for infection control, antibiotic stewardship, and employee health contracting can meaningfully stabilize a group's financial position.

Infectious disease physicians, depending on their physician capacity, may have the ability to provide service to several hospitals simultaneously. This reduces dependence on any single relationship and create competitive advantages in markets where private equity-backed groups are actively pursuing hospital contracts.

3.1.2. Group Structure: From Employee to Partnership

One of the most consequential decisions a physician leader makes is how new physicians move from employee status to partnership, and what equity sharing looks like at each stage. A clearly defined, transparently communicated pathway protects both the group and the incoming physician. Ambiguity about partnership timelines, equity thresholds, and voting rights is a consistent source of conflict in private practice groups and a driver of physician disenchantment and attrition.

An ID group's legal structure should ideally be a fully integrated entity operating under a single tax identification number. This simplifies contracting, billing, and liability while creating a unified identity in the marketplace. Other fragmented structures, in which individual physicians bill independently or operate under separate legal entities, may weaken the group's negotiating position with hospitals and payers and limit financial opportunities.

3.1.3. Infrastructure Development

Controllable infrastructure is a defining characteristic of resilient private practice groups. While a necessity, infrastructure expenditure must be controlled and routinely evaluated. Protection of financial lifelines, such as billing and collection is a glaring and critical example. In-house billing and collection give the group visibility into its revenue cycle and the ability to respond quickly to coding changes, payer policy shifts, and productivity fluctuations.

Advance practice professionals are force multipliers for physician productivity. Maximizing this productivity requires an investment in both their training and ongoing supervision. Many practices utilize these clinicians on weekends, which also supports a healthier physician work/life balance. Nurses are critical to the ID clinical outpatient arena, but the number employed is directly related to their proposed function. For example, nurses are the effector mechanism for infusion therapy. Accordingly, more nurses are needed as an OPAT program develops. However, if an ID group that does not utilize OPAT, they may hire only medical assistants. Ultimately, the right staffing model allows physicians to focus time on the work that generates the greatest value and professional satisfaction.

3.2. Establishing and Maintaining Group Culture

Group culture is the operational DNA of practice. A group with a strong, deliberate culture recruits better, retains better, clinically performs better, and weathers adversity more effectively than a group that allows culture to develop by default.

The touchstone of a healthy group culture is coherence. The group looks like a group, talks like a group, and acts like a group. This means consistent clinical standards, consistent communication with hospital partners, consistent behavior in interactions with patients and referring physicians, and a shared public identity. Most importantly, coherence is frequently displayed by the individual group members overtly supporting each other as often as possible.

> "Personnel attitudes directly reflect leadership. The culture of a physician group is ultimately the shadow of its leader's character."

This said, personnel conflicts, clinical complaints, financial shortfalls, and competitive threats are inevitable in any organization. The leader's response to these challenges defines the culture more powerfully than any policy document. Problems are viewed as opportunities, engaged early, and addressed transparently. Leaders who avoid or minimize problems create groups that are fragile and reactive.

4. Optimal Group Structure

The structural decisions made in the early years of a private practice group have long-lasting consequences. Getting the structure right, or correcting it when it drifts, is one of the most valuable investments a physician leader can make.

4.1. Leadership Configuration

The most effective private practice ID groups operate with a single identified leader, variously titled CEO, Chairman, or Managing Partner, who bears ultimate accountability for the group's direction and decisions. This does not imply autocratic or unilateral decision-making. Rather, it ensures the group has a clearly designated authority who can act decisively once deliberation has reached its natural conclusion.

Numerous support structures complement the managing partner without diffusing accountability. Committees or working groups focused on specific domains allow for broad physician engagement. This serves two critical purposes. First, it serves to reinforce and enhance the group's direction and culture. Secondly, it assists the managing partner in the development of the next generation of leadership without creating the paralysis that often accompanies diffuse governance models.

4.2. Legal and Financial Structure

A fully integrated group operating under a single tax identification number is the structure best suited to private practice infectious disease. This configuration allows for unified contracting with hospitals and payers, simplified billing operations, and a single liability and compliance framework. It also creates a clear group identity in the marketplace. This is important both for competitive positioning and for physician recruitment.

Fragmented structures, in which physicians operate as independent contractors or under separate practice entities that are loosely affiliated, create persistent coordination problems. Call scheduling, negotiating hospital contracts, and diversification of revenue becomes complicated or unavailable.

4.3. Work/Life Balance: Structural Considerations

Physician well-being is a leadership responsibility. Burnout, disengagement, and attrition are expensive for any organization, but they are particularly damaging for small private practice groups where the departure of a single physician can materially affect call burden, revenue, and clinical coverage.

Several structural decisions have a direct and measurable impact on work/life balance.

4.3.1. Physician Numbers and Call Sustainability

A group of at least four physicians is generally considered the minimum threshold for a sustainable weekend call schedule of approximately once per month. Below this threshold, call burden becomes a significant quality-of-life concern and a recruitment barrier. Leaders should prioritize reaching this threshold early and maintaining it through proactive recruitment planning.

4.3.2. Geographic Diversity

Serving more than one hospital, where geography permits, provides meaningful protection against the competitive and contractual disruptions that increasingly characterize the hospital medicine landscape. A group with relationships at multiple facilities is less vulnerable to losing a single hospital contract to a private equity-backed competitor, has more leverage in contract negotiations, and creates more opportunities for physician scheduling flexibility.

4.3.3. Infrastructure Supports

Several infrastructure investments materially reduce physician burden without compromising care quality. A nurse or advanced practice provider responsible for triaging outpatient calls reduces the frequency and cognitive load of after-hours interruptions. Physician extenders, including nurse practitioners and physician assistants, who provide inpatient rounding support, enable physicians to manage larger patient panels without a proportional increase in time commitment. A robust outpatient electronic medical records system, designed for infectious disease workflows, reduces documentation burden and facilitates communication between inpatient and outpatient care settings.

5. Decision Making in Private Practice Groups

Few issues generate more conflict in private practice groups than governance, specifically, who gets to vote on what, and what happens when votes are deadlocked. Establishing clear, prospectively agreed-upon answers to these questions is one of the most important things a physician leader can do for the long-term health of the group.

5.1. Who Should Vote?

The instinct toward democratic inclusion, giving every group member a vote on every issue, is understandable but organizationally problematic. In practice, broad voting rights create several predictable dysfunctions. Decisions take longer, political coalitions form around individual interests rather than group interests, and new or junior physicians may be placed in the uncomfortable position of voting on matters for which they lack full context.

> "The correct answer to "who should vote?" is not "everyone." Very few group members should be authorized to vote on significant governance matters, and this should be established prospectively, not on a whim during a specific decision."

The optimal governance model restricts voting rights to a defined subset of senior, invested physicians. Typically, more senior partners who have met specified tenure and performance thresholds are allowed to vote. This does not, however, preclude other physicians from input or consultation. It simply identifies the physicians who bear sufficient investment in the group's future to make binding decisions on their behalf.

5.2. What Issues Require a Vote?

Not all decisions require the same level of governance engagement. A useful framework distinguishes between operational, financial, and strategic decisions. Operational and financial decisions need to be delegated by the managing partner and the senior management physicians. Strategic decisions warrant collective input and are frequently delegated to work groups that advise the senior management group.

Issues that typically warrant a formal vote among authorized physicians include changes to call schedules and physician capacity thresholds, significant compensation and salary structure changes, major growth decisions (adding a physician, opening a new office, pursuing a new hospital contract), and changes to partnership structure or equity sharing arrangements.

Deciding prospectively which issues require a vote is equally important. Optimally, these decisions are determined in advance. This should be memorialized in an operating or partnership agreement. This avoids the recurring conflict of debating the process of governance in the middle of a substantive dispute.

5.3. Who Holds the Final Authority?

Even well-governed groups will encounter deadlocked votes. Accordingly, the managing partner must hold the tiebreaking vote. This arrangement requires that the managing partner role be filled by a physician with the trust and confidence of the voting group.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Private practice infectious disease groups that thrive over the long term share several structural and cultural characteristics. They are led by physicians who have invested in developing a coherent personal leadership profile, anchored by integrity and sustained by clinical credibility, passion, courage, and clear communication. They are organized as integrated legal entities with a single identified managing partner, supported by appropriate committees and infrastructure. They make decisions through a governance framework that is prospectively defined, limits voting authority to the most invested physicians, and vests final authority in the managing partner when consensus cannot be reached.

The following table (Table 2) distills the principles and practical guidance offered in this paper:

Table 2: Principles of leadership.

Principle	Key Points
Leadership qualities	Excellent physician leaders possess personal insight, clinical ability, passion, charisma, strong communication, integrity, and courage. Of these, integrity is the most foundational; it is the currency of trust.
Group structure	The optimal legal structure is a fully integrated group operating under a single tax identification number, with one identified managing partner and clearly defined support committees.

Work/life sustainability	A minimum of four physicians supports a manageable call schedule. Geographic diversity across hospitals provides competitive and contractual resilience. Infrastructure investments in nursing triage, physician extenders, and robust EMR reduce physician burden.
Decision making	Voting rights should be restricted to a small number of senior, invested physicians. The scope of votable issues should be defined prospectively. The managing partner holds the tiebreaking vote in deadlocked decisions.
Culture	Group culture is set by leadership character and behavior. Personnel attitudes reflect leadership. Problems should be embraced as opportunities for improvement, engaged early, and addressed transparently.

Private practice infectious disease is a specialty that rewards clinical excellence and punishes organizational dysfunction in equal measures. The physician leader who builds a structurally sound, culturally coherent, and well-governed group creates the conditions not only for financial sustainability but for the kind of professional environment where physicians can do their best clinical work over the full arc of their careers. That is, ultimately, the highest purpose of physician leadership.

Acknowledgments: The author used Claude for content assistance, specifically to create an initial draft from prior personal presented material. The manuscript was reviewed and revised by the author and takes full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.